



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3393
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Scrub Typhus
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>I have examined the article, and it appears that the intended purpose of the review is not clearly defined. The article can be improved by incorporating appropriate and pertinent references</p> <p>Not suitable,cant suggest a name as the review is not focussed</p> <p>No,need to re written</p> <p>No,need section numbers</p> <p>Doubtful,need to cite proper references. Even the nameof the pathogen is not written correctly Orientiatsutsugamushi,</p>	<p>1. Corrected</p> <p>2. Corrected</p> <p>3. corrected</p> <p>4. Corrected</p> <p>5. Corrected</p> <p>Corrected</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Language need to be improved	Corrected



Optional comments		
--------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	